

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/815,061	Applicant(s) CHAUDHURI ET AL.	
	Examiner Khanh B. Pham	Art Unit 2166	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner.

(3) _____

 (2) Stephen Siu, Applicant's representative.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 April 2007
Time: 4pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

6-7, 9, 15, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The examiner suggested adding the limitation "wherein the database objects are database statements from a database workload" to independent claims 9, 15, 23 to further define and limit the scope of the claims, and to be consistent with claim 1. Claims 6-7 also need to be amended to correct antecedent basis. Applicant's representative agreed and authorized an Examiner's Amendment..